

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/826,914	(04/06/2001	Jun Moroo	1075.1160	6535	
21171	7590	10/21/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				YANG, RYAN R		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2672		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/826,914	MOROO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ryan R Yang	2672	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	SS
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT itute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 August 2004 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims			nerits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.	•	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers	*		
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)[Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Programme and the second secon	ALTERNATION OF THE STREET	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	pplication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			plication).
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	en received.	F
Attachment			·•	
2) Notice 3) inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re	A.	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10142	2004

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 8/18/2004. This action is final.
- 2. Claims 1-53 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 3, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49 and 51-53 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 8/18/2004, claims 3, 45 and 52 were amended.

This application claims foreign priority dated 11/10/2000.

3. The present title of the invention is "Image display control unit, image display control method, image displaying apparatus, and image display control program recorded computer-readable recording medium" as filed originally.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 13-14, 19-20, 25-26, 31-32, 37, 39, 41, 43-44, 46-47, 49-50 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bricklin et al (5,717,939), and further in view of Elderton et al (6,477,572).

As per claim 1, Bricklin et al., hereinafter Bricklin, discloses an image display control unit which displays an image on a display screen, said control unit comprising:

Art Unit: 2672

a screen size information obtaining section for obtaining information on a display size of said display screen (Figure 13E where Xb and Yb determine the sizes of the display screen; Figure 24 2436 is the step to determine the size of said display screen);

an image information obtaining section obtaining information on vertical and horizontal sizes of said image (Figure 13E where Xc and Yc determine the sizes of the image and Figure 24 2425 determine the vertical and horizontal sizes of said image);

an arithmetic section calculating an image magnification ratio so that at least one of said vertical and horizontal sizes of said image substantially conforms with at least one of vertical and horizontal display sizes on the whole of said display screen (Figure 24 2438 calculates image magnification ratio and Xb and Yb are the predetermined sizes to be conform to); and

a display control section displaying said image at the calculated magnification ratio on said display screen (Figure 24 2446).

Bricklin discloses an image display control unit to display a scaled image. It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose a section to obtain the whole screen size, however, this is known in the art as taught by Elderton. Elderton discloses an image display system in which the display screen size is obtained (Figure 3, item 48; "At step 48, the display routine then determines the size of the user's display screen and the display resolution selected for the console", column 7, line 6-9).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Elderton into Bricklin because Bricklin discloses an image display control unit to display a scaled image and Elderton

Art Unit: 2672

discloses the size of the whole display screen is obtained in order to fully utilize the whole screen.

- 6. As per claim 2, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said arithmetic section calculates image magnification ratios for when said vertical size of said image is set to substantially conform with said vertical display size of said display screen and for when said horizontal size of said image is set to substantially conform with said horizontal display size of said display screen, and selects the larger one of the calculated vertical and horizontal magnification ratios and outputs the selected magnification ratio to said display control section ("The factor by which the size of the entry must be reduced in order for it to fit entirely within the target cell is the smaller of the two ratios Yc/Yb and Xc/Xb", column 13, line 43-45; since reducing the size is seeking the smaller of the two ratios, it is inherent to seek the larger of the two ratios for magnification).
- 7. As per claims 7 and 8, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1 and 2, respectively, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a first storing section associating the calculated magnification ratio with said image and retaining the associated magnification ratio (Figure 24 2438 and 2440).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

Art Unit: 2672

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

8. As per claims 13, 14, 19 and 20, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1, 2, 7 and 8, respectively, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a second storing section associating display position information, on location of said image on the display screen, with said image and retaining the associated display position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

9. As per claims 25 and 26, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claims 13 and 14, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image,

Art Unit: 2672

which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

10. As per claims 31 and 32, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claims 19 and 20, respectively, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image, which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line

Art Unit: 2672

57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

- 11. As per claim 37, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a scroll processing section for scrolling said image on said display screen ("Gestures" are pen movements (typically sequences of three strokes or less) that invoke certain specified commands ... single strokes or "flicks" right, left, up or down, which are used for scrolling", column 10, line 8-15).
- 12. As per claim 39, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and Bricklin further discloses an index image, which is produced by reducing an original image, is displayed as said image on said display screen as said image (Figure 13G 1370 is a reduced image of an original image).
- 13. As per claim 41, Bricklin and Elderton demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 39, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a third storing section for associating position information, on location of an image to be

Art Unit: 2672

displayed, with the original image and retaining the associated position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

- 14. As per claims 43 and 44, Bricklin and Elderton disclose an image display control method performing the steps of claims 1 and 2, respectively, and therefore is similarly rejected as claims 1 and 2, respectively.
- 15. As per claim 46, Bricklin and Elderton disclose an image displaying apparatus including the elements listed in claim 1, including a display screen for displaying an image (Figure 13E of Bricklin), and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 1.
- 16. As per claim 47, Bricklin and Elderton disclose an image display control method performing the steps of claim 2, and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 2.
- 17. As per claims 49 and 50, Bricklin and Elderton disclose an image display control program recorded computer-readable recording medium which retains an image display control program for making a computer implement an image display control function to display an image on a display screen of an image displaying apparatus (since Bricklin discloses a computer system with CPU and RAM), said image display control program

Art Unit: 2672

making the computer function with sections same as claims 1 and 2, respectively, and therefore is similarly rejected as claims 1 and 2, respectively.

- 18. As per claim 52, Bricklin and Elderton disclose a method of displaying an image on a display screen with the steps the same as claim 1, and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 1.
- 19. Claims 3-4, 9-10, 15-16, 21-22, 27-28, 33-34, 38, 40, 42, 5, 8, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bricklin et al (5,717,939), and further in view of Serizawa etal. (5,809,183).
- 20. As per claim 3, Bricklin discloses an image display control unit which displays an image on a display screen, said control unit comprising:

a character size detecting section obtaining a character size (Figure 13B and Figure 24 2425 determine the sizes of a character of said image);

an arithmetic section calculating magnification ratio of said image on the basis of the character size so that said character in said image is displayed at a predetermined size on said display screen (Figure 24 2438 calculates the magnification ratio and Xb and Yb are the predetermined sizes); and

a display control section for displaying said image at the calculated magnification ratio on said display screen (Figure 24 2446).

Bricklin discloses an image display control unit to display a scaled image. It is noted tha Bricklin does not explicitly disclose scaling depending on the most frequently used characters size, however, this is known in the art as taught by Serizawa et al..

Art Unit: 2672

hereinafter Serizawa. Serizawa discloses a method of magnification based on the most frequently used character size (column 8, line 40-47).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Serizawa into Bricklin because Bricklin discloses an image display control unit to display a scaled image and Serizawa discloses the scaling can be based on the most frequently used character in order to optimize the character size.

- 21. As per claim 4, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 3, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said predetermined size is height of said character (Figure 13E where Yc is the height of the character).
- As per claims 9-10, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 3-4, respectively, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a first storing section associating the calculated magnification ratio with said image and retaining the associated magnification ratio (Figure 24 2438 and 2440).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

Art Unit: 2672

23. As per claims 15-16 and 21-22 Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 3-4 and 9-10, respectively, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a second storing section for associating display position information, on location of said image on the display screen, with said image and for retaining the associated display position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

As per claims 27, 28, 33 and 34, Bricklin demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claims 15, 16, 21 and 22, respectively, supra, and further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image, which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440",

Art Unit: 2672

column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose physical construct of a storing section storing the associated values, however, since Bricklin discloses the step of storing the values in a memory, it is inherent that a storing section is used.

It is also noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

- 25. As per claim 38, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 3, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a scroll processing section for scrolling said image on said display screen (typically sequences of three strokes or less) that invoke certain specified commands ... single strokes or "flicks" right, left, up or down, which are used for scrolling", column 10, line 8-15).
- As per claim 40, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 3, supra, and Bricklin further discloses an indeximage, which is produced by reducing an original image, is displayed as said image on said display screen as said image (Figure 13G 1370 is a reduced image of an original image).
- 27. As per claim 42, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 40, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a third

Art Unit: 2672

storing section associating position information, on location of an image to be displayed, with the original image and retaining the associated position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include "pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

It is noted that Bricklin does not explicitly disclose the associated values are stored in a section of a memory, however, it is inherent that a memory can be arbitrarily segmented into separate sectors in order to store values of different parameters.

- 28. As per claim 45, Bricklin and Serizawa disclose an image display control method performing the steps of claim 3, and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 3.
- 29. As per claim 48, Bricklin and Serizawa disclose an image displaying apparatus including the elements listed in claim 3, including a display screen for displaying an image (Figure 13E of Bricklin), and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 3.
- 30. As per claim 51, Bricklin and Serizawa disclose an image display control program recorded computer-readable recording medium which retains an image display control program for making a computer implement an image display control function to display an image on a display screen of an image displaying apparatus, said recording medium making said computer function with same section as claim3, and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 3.

Art Unit: 2672

31. As per claim 53, Bricklin and Serizawa disclose a method of displaying an image on a display screen with the steps the same as claim 3, and therefore is similarly rejected as claim 3.

32. Claims 5, 11, 17, 23, 29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bricklin and Serizawa as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Chandavakar et al. (5,793,350).

As per claim 5, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 3, supra.

Bricklin and Serizawa disclose a method of displaying a magnified image on a display screen. It is noted that Bricklin and Sreizawa do not explicitly disclose said predetermined size is the number of pixels for the character of height, however, this is known in the art as taught by Chandavarkar et al., hereinafter Chandavakar.

Chandavakar discloses a method of scaling a selected image in which the height of the image is expressed is pixels ("a display line counter 50 tracks and stores the current height 52 of a stretched image in pixels as the image is being displayed", column 6, line 16-18).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chandavakar into Bricklin and Serizawa because Bricklin and Serizawa disclose a method of displaying a magnified image on a display screen and Chandavakar further discloses the image height can be expressed in pixel number in order to adaptively resize the image.

Art Unit: 2672

- 33. As per claim 11, Bricklin, Serizawa and Chandavarkar demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 5, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a first storing section for associating the calculated magnification ratio with said image and for retaining the associated magnification ratio (Figure 24 2440).
- 34. As per claim 17, Bricklin, Serizawa and Chandavarkar demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 5, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a second storing section for associating display position information, on location of said image on the display screen, with said image and for retaining the associated display position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).
- 35. As per claim 23, Bricklin, Serizawa and Chandavarkar demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 11, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a second storing section for associating display position information, on location of said image on the display screen, with said image and for retaining the associated display position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include "pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"",

Art Unit: 2672

column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

- 36. As per claim 29, Bricklin, Serizawa and Chandavarkar demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 17, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image, which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).
- 37. As per claim 35, Bricklin, Serizawa and Chandavarkar demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 23, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image, which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

Art Unit: 2672

38. Claims 6, 12, 18, 24, 30 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bricklin and Serizawa as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Fukushima et al. (6,388,638).

As per claim 6, Bricklin and Serizawa demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 3, supra.

Bricklin and Serizawa disclose a method of displaying a magnified image on a display screen.

It is noted that Bricklin and Serizawa do not explicitly disclose said predetermined size is a field angle in a character height direction, however, this is known in the art as taught by Fukushima et al., hereinafter Fukushima. Fukushima discloses a method of displaying magnified image in which the magnification factor is determined by its field angle ("The field angle information detection circuit 112 detects this field angle information from the video signal, and determines a magnification factor used upon enlargement or reduction of an image in the thin-out/interpolation processing circuits 105R and 105L", column 14, line 46-50).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fukushima into Bricklin and Serizawa because Bricklin and Serizawa disclose a method of displaying a magnified image on a display screen and Fukushima discloses the magnification factor can be determined from its field angle in order not to increase the size of the optical system of the display unit, column 2, line 51-53.

Art Unit: 2672

39. As per claim 12, Bricklin, Serizawa and Fukushima demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 6, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a first storing section for associating the calculated magnification ratio with said image and for retaining the associated magnification ratio (Figure 24 2440).

- 40. As per claim 18, Bricklin, Serizawa and Fukushima demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 6, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a second storing section for associating display position information, on location of said image on the display screen, with said image and for retaining the associated display position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).
- 41. As per claim 24, Bricklin, Serizawa and Fukushima demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 12, supra, and Bricklin further discloses a second storing section for associating display position information, on location of said image on the display screen, with said image and for retaining the associated display position information ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"",

Art Unit: 2672

column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

- 42. As per claim 30, Bricklin, Serizawa and Fukushima demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 18, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image, which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).
- 43. As per claim 36, Bricklin, Serizawa and Chandavarkar demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 24, supra, and Bricklin further discloses said second storing section associates a display magnification of said image, which is displayed on said display screen, with said image and stores the associated magnification ratio ("This scale factor, together with the original stroke descriptors, are stored in memory as the data content of the target cell at block 2440", column 18, line 1-4, where the stroke descriptors include ""pen down "event, "pen down" coordinates, "pen up" event, "pen up" coordinates, intervening "deltas"", column 11, line 57-59; these values are used to determine display factor and adjust descriptors for display, Figure 24 2444 and 2445).

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Arguments

44. Applicant's arguments, see page 13, line 32 – page 14, line 15, filed 8/18/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11, 13-14, 17, 19-20, 23, 25-26, 29, 31-32, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43-44, 46-47, 49-50 and 52 under Kemei have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Elderton et al (6,477,572).

As per claims 3-4, 6, 9-10, 12, 15-16, 18, 21-22, 24, 27-28, 30, 33-34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 5, 8, 51 and 53 Applicant alleges the Bricklin does not disclose scaling the character size, but the outer bounds of the entire entry. In reply, the examiner considers the outer bounds of the entry determine the size of the character. Applicant also alleges Serizawa does not disclose calculating a magnification ratio of said image on the character so that said character in said image is displayed at a predetermined size on said display screen. In reply, examiner considers this limitation is disclosed in Bricklin; examiner is only using the Serizawa teaching to meet the limitation of "character size is determined by the most frequently used character".

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re*

Art Unit: 2672

Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Bricklin discloses a method of sizing and scaling characters and Serizawa discloses the character size can be determined by the most frequently used character in order to optimize the character size.

Conclusion

- 45. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 46. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiries

47. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ryan Yang** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-6133**.

Art Unit: 2672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Razavi**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4713**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-47000377.

Ryan Yang October 15, 2004

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500